1. A scientist wishes to test if a new treatment has a better cure rate than the traditional treatment which cures only $60 \%$ of the patients. In order to test whether the new treatment is more effective or not, a test group of 20 patients were given the new treatment. Assume that each personal result is independent of the others.

CDF @ $x$

|  |  | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $x$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 0}$ |
| 20 | 0 | 0.000 |
|  | 1 | 0.000 |
|  | 2 | 0.000 |
|  | 3 | 0.000 |
|  | 4 | 0.000 |
|  | 5 | 0.002 |

$\begin{array}{lcc}\text { a) If the new treatment has the same success rate as the } & 6 & 0.006 \\ \text { traditional, what is the probability that at least 14 out } & 7 & 0.021 \\ \text { of } 20 \text { patients (14 or more) will be cured? } & 8 & 0.057 \\ & 9 & 0.128 \\ & 10 & 0.245 \\ & 11 & 0.404 \\ & 12 & 0.584 \\ & 13 & 0.750 \\ & 14 & 0.874 \\ \text { b) } & 15 & 0.949 \\ & 16 & 0.984 \\ \text { Suppose that } 14 \text { out of } 20 \text { patients in the test group } & 17 & 0.996 \\ \text { were cured. Based on the answer for part (a), is there } & 18 & 0.999 \\ \text { a reason to believe that the new treatment has a better } & 19 & 1.000\end{array}$
c) If the new treatment has the same success rate as the traditional, what is the probability that at least 17 out of 20 patients ( 17 or more) will be cured?
d) Suppose that 17 out of 20 patients in the test group were cured. Based on the answer for part (c), is there a reason to believe that the new treatment has a better cure rate than the traditional treatment?

A null hypothesis, denoted by $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, is an assertion about one or more population parameters. This is the assertion we hold as true until we have sufficient statistical evidence to conclude otherwise.

The alternative hypothesis, denoted by $\mathrm{H}_{1}$, is the assertion of all situations not covered by the null hypothesis.

The test is designed to assess the strength of the evidence against the null hypothesis.

|  | $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{0}}$ true | $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{0}}$ false |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accept $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{0}}$ <br> (Do Not Reject $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{0}}$ ) | $\ddots$ | Type II Error |
| Reject $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | Type I Error | $\ddots$ |

$\alpha=$ significance level $=P($ Type I Error $)=P\left(\right.$ Reject $H_{0} \mid H_{0}$ is true $)$
$\beta=\mathrm{P}($ Type II Error $)=\mathrm{P}\left(\right.$ Do Not Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0} \mid \mathrm{H}_{0}$ is NOT true $)$
Power $=1-\mathrm{P}($ Type II Error $)=\mathrm{P}\left(\right.$ Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0} \mid \mathrm{H}_{0}$ is NOT true $)$

## Testing Hypotheses about a Population Proportion $\boldsymbol{p}$

Null

| $\mathrm{H}_{0}: p \geq p_{0}$ | vs. | $\mathrm{H}_{1}: p<p_{0}$ | Left - tailed. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{0}: p \leq p_{0}$ | vs. | $\mathrm{H}_{1}: p>p_{0}$ | Right - tailed. |
| $\mathrm{H}_{0}: p=p_{0}$ | vs. | $\mathrm{H}_{1}: p \neq p_{0}$ | Two - tailed. |

$$
z=\frac{\hat{p}-p_{0}}{\sqrt{\frac{p_{0} \cdot\left(1-p_{0}\right)}{n}}} .
$$

$$
Z=\frac{Y-n \cdot p_{0}}{\sqrt{n \cdot p_{0} \cdot\left(1-p_{0}\right)}},
$$

where $Y$ is the number of $S$ 's in $n$ independent trials.

## Rejection Region:

$\mathrm{H}_{0}: p \geq p_{0}$
$\mathrm{H}_{0}: p \leq p_{0}$
$\mathrm{H}_{0}: p=p_{0}$
$\mathrm{H}_{1}: p<p_{0}$
Left - tailed.
$\mathrm{H}_{1}: p>p_{0}$
Right - tailed.
$\mathrm{H}_{1}: p \neq p_{0}$
Two - tailed.


Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ if
$Z<-Z \alpha$

Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ if
$Z>Z_{\alpha}$


Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ if

$$
\begin{gathered}
Z<-Z \alpha / 2 \\
\quad \text { or } \\
Z>Z_{\alpha / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

If the value of the Test Statistic falls into the Rejection Region,
then Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$
otherwise, Accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ (Do NOT Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ )
2. A certain automobile manufacturer claims that at least $80 \%$ of its cars meet the tough new standards of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Let $p$ denote the proportion of the cars that meet the new EPA standards. The EPA tests a random sample of 400 its cars, suppose that 308 of the 400 cars in our sample meet the new EPA standards.
a) Perform an appropriate test at a $10 \%$ level of significance $(\alpha=0.10)$.

Claim:
$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ :
vs.
$\mathrm{H}_{1}$ :

Test Statistic:

## Rejection Region:

## Decision:

b) Perform an appropriate test at a $5 \%$ level of significance ( $\alpha=0.05$ ).

## Rejection Region:

## Decision:

The $\mathbf{P}$-value ( observed level of significance) is the probability, computed assuming that $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is true, of obtaining a value of the test statistic as extreme as, or more extreme than, the observed value.
(The smaller the p-value is, the stronger is evidence against $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ provided by the data.)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { P-value }>\alpha & \text { Do NOT Reject } \mathrm{H}_{0} \quad\left(\text { Accept } \mathrm{H}_{0}\right) . \\
\mathrm{P} \text {-value }<\alpha & \text { Reject } \mathrm{H}_{0} .
\end{array}
$$

Computing P-value:
$\mathrm{H}_{0}: p \geq p_{0}$
$\mathrm{H}_{0}: p \leq p_{0}$
$\mathrm{H}_{0}: p=p_{0}$
$\mathrm{H}_{1}: p<p_{0}$
$\mathrm{H}_{1}: p>p_{0}$
$\mathrm{H}_{1}: p \neq p_{0}$
Left - tailed.
Right - tailed.
Two - tailed.


Area to the left of the observed test statistic


Area to the right of the observed test statistic

$2 \times$ area of the tail
c) Find the p-value of the appropriate test.
d) Using the p-value from part (c), state your decision (Accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ or Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ ) at $\alpha=0.08$.
3. Alex wants to test whether a coin is fair or not. Suppose he observes 477 heads in 900 tosses. Let $p$ denote the probability of obtaining heads.
a) Perform the appropriate test using a $10 \%$ level of significance.

Claim:
$\mathrm{H}_{0}$ :
vs. $\quad \mathrm{H}_{1}$ :

## Test Statistic:

## Rejection Region:

## Decision:

b) Find the p-value of the test in part (a).
c) Using the p-value from part (b), state your decision (Accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ or Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ ) for $\alpha=0.05$.

